

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/712,328	11/12/2003	Amir Lehr	206,343	1994
39933 7590 07/23/2007 POWERDSINE LTD.			EXAMINER	
C/O LANDON	IP, INC		YANCHUS III, PAUL B	
1700 DIAGONAL ROAD, SUITE 450 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314-2866		O .	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•		2116	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
		•	07/23/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
•	10/712,328	LEHR ET AL				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Paul B. Yanchus	2116				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
,	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 January 2006.					
<i>,</i> —						
	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>128-157</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) 128-130, 134-138, 142, 143-147, 151-153 and 157 is/are rejected.						
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>131-133,139-141,148-150 and 154-156</u> is/are objected to. 8)□ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
o) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
, — · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D					
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)     Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .	5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:					

Continuation of Attachment(s) 3). Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08), Paper No(s)/Mail Date : 5/12/04, 8/2/04, 4/18/05, 7/31/05.

Art Unit: 2116

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statement filed 12/29/03 fails to comply with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97, 1.98 and MPEP § 609 because it includes an incorrect application serial number. The application serial number is incorrectly listed as 09/365,584. The information disclosure statement has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered as to the merits. Applicant is advised that the date of any re-submission of any item of information contained in this information disclosure statement or the submission of any missing element(s) will be the date of submission for purposes of determining compliance with the requirements based on the time of filing the statement, including all certification requirements for statements under 37 CFR 1.97(e). See MPEP § 609.05(a).

## **Double Patenting**

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Application/Control Number: 10/712,328 Page 3

Art Unit: 2116

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 128-130, 134-138, 142, 143-147, 151-153 and 157 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1 and 4 of U.S. Patent No. 6,473,608 in view of Marshall et al., US Patent no. 5,691,940 [Marshall]. Claims 1 and 4 of U.S. Patent No. 6,473,608 disclose every limitation of claims 128-130, and 134 except programmable current circuitry and utilizing the voltage measuring means at a plurality of predetermined intervals. Claims 1 and 4 of U.S. Patent No. 6,473,608 disclose current limiting circuitry, but do not disclose that the current limiting circuitry is programmable. Marshall discloses programmable current limiting circuitry [column 1, lines 44-55]. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use programmable current limiting circuitry in order to enable the current limiting circuitry to support a variety of devices or uses that may require different current limits without having to replace the current limiting circuitry for each separate use. Claims 1, 4 and 11 of U.S. Patent No. 6,473,608 disclose utilizing the voltage measuring means, but do not disclose utilizing the voltage measuring means at a plurality of predetermined intervals. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to perform multiple voltage measurements. Performing voltage measurements or detections at a plurality of intervals as opposed to a single instance prevents the system from erroneously supplying or not supplying power to a device if the device has changed functions or if a new device has been coupled to the system.

### Allowable Subject Matter

Art Unit: 2116

Claims 131-133, 139-141, 148-150, 154-156 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Paul B. Yanchus whose telephone number is (571) 272-3678. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thurs 8:00-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rehana Perveen can be reached on (571) 272-3676. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

REHANA PERVEEN EXAMINER
BUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Paul Yanchus June 24, 2007